# Strength and Weakness of labeled Graphs 

Nishad T M<br>Assistant Professor of Mathematics<br>MES College of Engineering and Technology<br>Ernakulam, Kerala, India<br>Email: wadud400@gmail.com


#### Abstract

In this paper a systematic mehod to find the number of strong and weak edges of a labeled graph is discovered.The method is applied on some labeled graphs.A family of weak graphs is discovered and foundout the Strength and weakness of 25 well known labeled Graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

Strong Graphs assure distinct numbers in ascending order to some edges and its end vertices. Labeled graph has application in the modelling and problems comes under the areas like Radar, Communication networks, Circuit design, Coding theory,Astronomy,X-ray,Crystallography,Database Management,Modelling of Constraint Programming over Finite domain,Bioilogy, chemistry ,etc.Same graph structure can have various labeling. According to the labeling the number of strong and weak edges varies. So a systematic method to find the number of strong and weak edges of a labeled graph has importance. In this paper the systematic method to find the strength and weakness of a labeled graph is discovered.The method is applied on some labeled graphs and the strength and weakness of 25 well known labeled graph is obtained.

## 2 SECTION I: PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: Graph labeling
A labeling of a Graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is a one to one mapping $\psi$ of the vertex set $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ in to the non negative set of integers that induces for each edge $e=u v \epsilon E(G)$, a label depending on the vertex labels $\psi(u)$ and $\psi(v)$.

## Definition 2.2: Strong Graph

A labeled graph $G=(V, E)$ is a strong graph if it satisfies the condition that there exist a number $\delta$ where $0<\delta<\operatorname{Max}\{\psi(\mathrm{e}) / \mathrm{e}$ $\epsilon \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$ and $\psi$, the labeling $\}$ such that $\operatorname{Min}\{\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi(\mathrm{v})\}<\psi($ uv $)<$ $\operatorname{Max}\{\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi(\mathrm{v})\}$
Note: 2.2.1: If the labeling is $\alpha$ then $\operatorname{Max}\{\psi(e) / e \in E(G)\}=$ $|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})|$ and $\psi(u v)=|\psi(v)-\psi(u)|$

## Definition 2.3: Strong edge

A strong edge $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ of a strong graph is the edge which satisfies $\operatorname{Min}\{\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi(\mathrm{v})\}<\psi(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})<\operatorname{Max}\{\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi(\mathrm{v})\}$.

Definition 2.4: Weak edge
The edge of a labeled graph is weak if it is not strong.
Definition 2.5: Strength
Strength of a labeled graph is $\mathrm{n}\left\{\mathrm{f}^{-1}(1)\right\}$ where $\mathrm{f}: \psi(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{x} \psi(\mathrm{v}) \rightarrow$ $\{0,1\}$ such that $f(\psi(u), \psi(v))=1$ if $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ is strong and 0 if $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ is weak.

Note: 2.5.1: Strength of a labeled graph G is denoted by $S(G)$.
Definition 2.6: Weakness
The weakness of a labeled graph is $n\left\{f^{-1}(0)\right\}$ where $\mathrm{f}: \psi(\mathrm{u}) \times \psi(\mathrm{v}) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi(\mathrm{v}))=1$ if $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ is strong and 0 if $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ is weak.

Note: 2.6.1: The weakness of a labeled graph $G$ is denoted by W(G).

## 3 SECTION II: Strength and weakness

Theorem 3.1: $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{G})+\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{G})=|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})|$
Proof: $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{n}\left\{\mathrm{f}^{-1}(1)\right\}$
where $\mathrm{f}: \psi(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{x} \psi(\mathrm{v}) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi(\mathrm{v}))=1$ if $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ is strong and 0 if $e=u v$ is weak. This implies that $S(G)=$ Number of strong edges of G.W(G) $=\mathrm{n}\left\{\mathrm{f}^{-1}(1)\right\}$ where $\mathrm{f}: \psi(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{x} \psi(\mathrm{v}) \rightarrow$ $\{0,1\}$ such that $f(\psi(u), \psi(v))=1$ if $e=u v$ is strong and 0 if $e=u v$ is weak. This implies that $W(G)=$ Number of weak edges of $G$.

By definition 2.3 and 2.4, an edge is weak if its not strong. So if $e \in E(G)$ then $e$ is either strong or weak.
Therefore $S(G)+W(G)=|E(G)|$.
Theorem 3.2: In a labeled graph $G$, if $u \in V(G)$ is such that $\psi$ $(u)=0$ then $W(G) \geq \operatorname{deg}(u)$.

Proof: Let $\operatorname{deg}(u)=k, k \in N$. Then there exist $k$ edges incident to $u$. Let $u_{i,} i=1,2,3, . ., k$ be the vertices such that $u u_{i} \in V(G)$ and $n_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, k$ be the labels such that $\psi\left(u_{i}\right)=n_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{Min}\{\psi(u)$, $\left.\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}=0$ and $\operatorname{Max}\left\{\psi(\mathrm{u}), \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$. This implies that all edges uui are weak. There fore $W(G) \geq k=\operatorname{deg}(u)$.Hence the proof.

Lemma 3.2.1: In a simple connected labeled graph $G$, if $\psi(u)=0$, for some $u \in V(G)$ then $S(G)<n$.

Proof: If $\psi(u)=0$, By theorem $3.2, W(G) \geq k, k \in N$.
To prove that $\mathrm{k} \neq 0$.
Suppose $\mathrm{k}=0$, then $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{u})=0$. This implies that u is an isolated vertex. But G being Simple connected labeled graph, it has no

## 4 SECTION III: TABuLAR REPRESENTATION

Let $\mathrm{u}_{0}, \mathrm{u}_{0}, \mathrm{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{b}^{2}$ the vertices of a simple graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$. Let $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right), \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right), \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be the vertex labels and $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ be the edge labels for $i, j=0,1,2,3, \ldots, n$. Assign 0 to $\psi\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)$ if $u_{i} u_{j}$ not an edge of G.Specify the labeling in the top left cell of the table. The following table gives the tabular representation of graph labeling of G.

| $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ <br> Labeling <br> $\psi$ |  | u0 | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)$ | $\psi$ (uouo) | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{0} \mathrm{u}_{1}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{0} \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\psi\left(u_{0} u_{n}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\psi\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $\psi\left(u_{1} u_{0}\right)$ | $\psi\left(u_{1} u_{1}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\psi\left(u_{1} u_{n}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{u}_{0}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{u}_{1}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ..... | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\psi\left(u_{n}\right)$ | $\psi\left(u_{n} u_{0}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{unn}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{unn}_{2}\right)$ | $\ldots$ | $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |

Example 4.1: The tabular representation of an $\alpha$ labeled N Graph N with $|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})|=5$ is given in the following table.

| $\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ <br> $\alpha$ <br> L Labe- <br> ling |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 5 SECTION IV: PROPERTIES

Let $A=\left[\psi\left(u_{i u} u_{j}\right)\right], i, j=0,1,2, . ., n$. be the matrix of tabular representation of G . Then A has the following properties.

1. All the main diagonal entries of A are zeros.
2. Every row of A has exactly 2 non zero entries if G is a cycle.
3. Every column of A has exactly 2 non zero entries if $G$ is a cycle.
4. A is a symmetric matrix.i.e, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$.

## 6 SECTION V: Nishad’s Method

To find the strength and weakness of a labeled graph,I am suggesting the following method.

## Nishad's Method:

Step 1: Represent the labeling in Tabular form.Let 2 n be the number of non zero entries in the cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$.
Step 2: Delete the row and column corresponding to $\psi\left(u_{i}\right)=0$. Step 3: Delete the cells in the row of $\psi\left(u_{i}\right)$ if the entry in the cell $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \leq \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
Step 4: Count the number of cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ from the remaining which satisfies $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$. Let it be k .

Then
Stength of $G$ is $S(G)=k$ and Weakness of $G$ is $W(G)=n-k$.

## 7 SECTION VI: Strength and weakness using Nishad's Method

Theorem 7.1: Let $N$ be a Nishad Graph and $|E(N)|=5$, then $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{N})=2$ and $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{N})=3$.
Proof: We shall use Nishad's Method to prove this.
Step 1:
The tabular representation of the given N is

| $\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ <br> $\alpha$ Labeling |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note that there are $2 \mathrm{n}=10$ cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ have non zero entries.
Step 2:

| $\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ |  |
|  | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 3:

| $\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ <br> $\alpha$ Labeling |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 4:There are 2 cells which satisfies the condition
$\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{uilu}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$.
Stength of $N$ is $S(N)=2$ and
Weakness of $N$ is $W(N)=5-2=3$.
Theorem 7.2: The strength and weakness of same graph structure need not be the same.
Proof: We shall prove it by using an example.
Case 1
Consider the graph $\mathrm{C}_{8}$. Let $\psi$ be the $\alpha$ labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ given by $\psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0, \psi\left(u_{1}\right)=5, \psi\left(u_{2}\right)=4, \psi\left(u_{3}\right)=6, \psi\left(u_{4}\right)=3, \psi\left(u_{5}\right)=7, \psi\left(u_{6}\right)=1$, $\psi(\mathrm{u})=8$.
We shall use Nishad's Method to find the strength and weakness of $\alpha$ labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$.

Step 1:The tabular representation of the given $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ is

| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\alpha$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labeling | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |  |
|  | 0 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 8 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |

Note that there are $2 \mathrm{n}=16$ cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ have non zero entries.
Step 2:

| ${ }^{C} 8$ - $\alpha$ <br> Labeling |  | u0 | u1 | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | U6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 5 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 4 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 6 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |

Step 3:

| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\alpha$ <br> Labeling |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 8 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 7 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 4:There are 3 cells which satisfies the equation $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$.
Stength of $\alpha$ labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ is $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)=3$ and
Weakness of $\alpha$ labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ is $\mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)=8-3=5$.
Case 2
Consider the graph $\mathrm{C}_{8}$. Let $\psi$ be the complementary labeling of C8 given by $\psi\left(u_{0}\right)=8, \psi\left(u_{1}\right)=3, \psi\left(u_{2}\right)=4, \psi\left(u_{3}\right)=2, \psi\left(u_{4}\right)=5$, $\psi\left(u_{5}\right)=1, \psi\left(u_{6}\right)=7, \psi\left(u_{7}\right)=0$.
We shall use Nishad's Method to find the strength and weakness of complementary labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$.

Step 1:The tabular representation of the given $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ is

| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ <br> Complementary <br> Labeling | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |

Note that there are $2 \mathrm{n}=16$ cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ have non zero entries.

Step 2:

| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-$ <br> Complementary <br> Labeling | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 3:

| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-$ <br> Complementary <br> Labeling $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 4:There are 4 cells which satisfies the condition $\psi\left(u_{i}\right)<\psi\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)<\psi\left(u_{i}\right)$.

Stength of Complementary labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ is $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)=4$ and Weakness of Complementary labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ isW $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)=8-4=4$.
Case 3.
Consider the graph $\mathrm{C}_{8}$. Let $\psi$ be the inverse labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ given by $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)=4, \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)=8, \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=0, \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{3}\right)=7, \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{4}\right)=1, \psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{5}\right)=6$, $\psi\left(u_{6}\right)=3, \psi\left(u_{7}\right)=5$.
We shall use Nishad's Method to find the strength and weakness of inverse labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$.
Step 1:
The tabular representation of the given $C_{8}$ is

| C8-Inversion Labeling |  | u0 | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| u0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| u1 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| u7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Note that there are $2 \mathrm{n}=16$ cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ have non zero entries. Step 2:

| C -Inversion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {- }}$ |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |
|  | 4 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 8 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |


| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 5 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Step 3:

| C8-Inversion Labeling |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | U4 | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| u0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 5 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 4:There are 2 cells which satisfies the condition $\psi\left(u_{i}\right)<$ $\psi\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)<\psi\left(u_{j}\right)$.Stength of Inverse labeling of $C_{8}$ is $S\left(C_{8}\right)=2$ and Weakness of Inverse labeling of $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ is $W\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)=8-2=6$.

From case 1, case 2 and Case 3 it is obvious that the strength and weaknefulss of same graph structure need not be the same.

## 8 SECTION VII: Family of weak Graphs

During the investigation of strength and weakness using Nishad's Method, a family of some labeled graphs with strength 0 is discovered.It is obvious that there will exist more graphs having strength 0 . In the theorems 8.1 and 8.2 ,its proved that a family of graphs are weak.

Theorem 8.1: If $n=2 k, k \geq 2$ is even, then Edge Odd Graceful double star $\mathrm{K}_{1, n, n}$ is a weak graph.

Proof: Let $V\left(K_{1, n, n}\right)=\left\{u, u_{i,} v_{i} ; i=1,2,3, . ., n\right\}$ and let $E\left(K_{1, n, n}\right)=A U B$ where $A=\left\{u_{i} / i=1,2,3, \ldots, n\right\}$ and $B=\left\{u_{i v i} / i=1,2,3, \ldots, n\right\}$.The EOGL is as follows.

## $\psi(\mathrm{u})=0$

$\psi\left(u_{i}\right)=2 i-1, \mathrm{i}=1,2,3, . ., \mathrm{n}$
$\psi\left(u_{i v}\right)=2(n+i)-1, i=1,2,3, . ., n$
$\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=2(\mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i}-1)(\bmod |4 \mathrm{n}|), \mathrm{i}=1,2,3, . ., \mathrm{n}$
$\psi\left(v_{i}\right)=2(n+i)-1, i=1,2,3, . ., n$
Now to prove that $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}}$ is weak.
It is enough to prove that the edge $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{uv}$ is weak for every $\mathrm{e} \epsilon \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{AUB}$. Now we shall consider two cases.

Case 1.
Let e $\in A=\left\{u_{i} / i=1,2,3, \ldots, n\right\}$
Then $e=u u_{i}$ for some i $\epsilon\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$.
By the EOGL, $\psi(\mathrm{u})=0$
Therefore by Lemma 3.2.2, e is a weak edge.
Case 2.
Let $e \in B=\left\{u_{i v} v_{i} / i=1,2,3, \ldots, n\right\}$
Then $e=u_{i} v_{i}$ for some $i \epsilon\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$.
By the EOGL,
$\psi\left(u_{i v}\right)=2(n+i)-1$, for some i $\in\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$. Let $i=k$. Then $\psi\left(u_{k V k}\right)=2(n+k)-1$ and $\psi\left(v_{k}\right)=2(n+k)-1=\psi\left(u_{k V k}\right)$. There fore the edge e doesnot satisfy the condition $\operatorname{Minimum}\left(\psi\left(u_{k}\right)\right.$, $\left.\psi\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{V} V_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)<\operatorname{Maximum}\left(\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}\right), \psi\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)$. This implies that e is a weak edge.Since this is true for every $\mathrm{k} \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\}$,e is weak for every e $\epsilon$ B.
Hence the proof.
Theorem 8.2: Edge odd graceful $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$,Complement of Nishad Graph,Graceful $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, Even vertex graceful $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ and Edge odd graceful $B_{5,5}$ are weak Graphs.

Proof: To prove that Edge odd graceful $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4,}$ is weak.
It is enough to prove that $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}\right)=0$.We shall use Nishad's Method to prove this.

Step 1:
The tabular representation of the given $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$ is

| $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$-EOGL | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{8}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{8}$ | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note that there are $2 \mathrm{n}=16$ cells $\psi\left(\mathrm{uin}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ have non zero entries.
Step 2:

| $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$-EOGL |  | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 14 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 9 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 11 |  | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 13 |  | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{8}$ | 15 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Step 3:

| $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$ EOGL | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{8}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{3}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{4}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| $\mathrm{u}_{5}$ | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{6}$ | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{7}$ | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{8}$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)<\psi\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$.
Therefore
Stength of EOGL $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$ is $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}\right)=0$ and
Weakness of EOGL $K_{1,4,4}$ is $W\left(K_{1,4,4}\right)=8-0=8$.
i.e, EOGL $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$ is a weak graph.

Similarly by using Nishad's Method, we shall prove that Graceful $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, Even vertex graceful $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ and Edge odd graceful $B_{5,5}$ are weak Graphs.

Now to prove that Complement of Nishad Graph is a weak graph.

This statement is proved in Theorem 3.3.Hence the poof.

## 9 SECTION VIII: StrengTh And weakness of 25 WELL KNOWN GRAPHS

Nishad's method is a good tool to analyse the strength and weakness of labeled graphs. Using Nishad's Method the strength and weakness of 25 well known labeled graphs is discovered. The result is displayed in the following table.

## Strength and Weakness of some Labeled Graphs

| Sl. <br> No | Graph G | Labeling | S(G) | W(G) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N},\|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~N})\| \\ & =5 \end{aligned}$ | $\alpha$ | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | Graceful | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | Graceful | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\alpha$ | 3 | 5 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ | 7 Graceful | 12 | 3 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{W}_{7}$ | 3 Graceful | 5 | 9 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 3 sequential | 6 | 1 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | Complementary $\alpha$ | 4 | 4 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | Inverse $\alpha$ | 2 | 6 |
| 10 | R5 | Graceful | 4 | 6 |
| 11 | R6 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 7 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | Graceful | 7 | 8 |
| 13 | $\Delta_{5}$ Snake | Graceful | 9 | 6 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{K}_{1,6}$ | 3 sequential | 6 | 0 |
| 15 | French4 Windmill | Graceful | 9 | 15 |
| 16 | Dutch5 <br> Windmill | Graceful | 3 | 12 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{P}_{5 \times 1} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ | Even Graceful | 18 | 13 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{P}_{12^{1}}$ | Even Graceful | 6 | 5 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{P}_{11} \mathrm{OK}_{1}$ | Even graceful | 13 | 8 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{OK}_{1}$ | Even graceful | 15 | 8 |
| 21 | K 3,5 | Even graceful | 10 | 5 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{UP}_{12}$ | Odd Graceful | 13 | 6 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | EvenVertex Graceful | 0 | 11 |
| 24 | B5,5 | EdgeOdd Graceful | 0 | 11 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{K}_{1,4,4}$ | EdgeOdd Graceful | 0 | 8 |

Step 4:There are 0 cells which satisfies the condition

## 10 Conclusions

Motivated by the definition of Graph labeling [4] , I have introduced Strong Graphs [1] and application of strong graphs in wireless networks [2] .In this paper the Strength and Weakness of labeled graphs is analysed. Introduced tabular representation of graph labeling and Nishad's method to find Strength and Weakness of labeled graphs.Proved that the strength and weakness of same graph structure need not be the same. A family of weak graphs is discovered .Also the Strength and Weakness of 25 well known labeled Graphs is discovered .The applications of Strong and weak Graphs in various fields of science and engineering is under investigation.
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